

Disciplinary Procedure - All staff

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The following procedures have been adopted by the Multi Academy Trust (MAT) Board of Education Impact Academy Trust (EIAT) in accordance with the requirements of paragraph 7 of the School Staffing (England) Regulations 2009 (the “Regulations”) for the MAT Trust Board to establish procedures for the regulation of the conduct and discipline of staff within the MAT. They apply to everyone employed by the MAT in accordance with those regulations, i.e. excluding staff employed by a contractor (including the authority acting as a contractor). Decisions taken under these procedures will be taken fairly on the balance of probability rather than, as would be the case in a criminal court, beyond reasonable doubt.

1.2 No disciplinary warning shall be given unless the procedures have been followed in full. The MAT Trust Board recognises that failure to observe these procedures could cause an employment tribunal to decide that a dismissal was unfair. However, it also provides that any part of these procedures, other than a statutory requirement, may be varied in a particular case by mutual, explicit agreement between the employee and the person(s) acting on behalf of the MAT Trust Board.

1.3 If an employee makes a complaint relating to a disciplinary issue the separate grievance procedure which the MAT Trust Board has established in accordance with paragraph 6 of the School Staffing (England) Regulations will not apply and the complaint will be dealt with through the disciplinary procedures.

1.4 The MAT Trust Board will delegate, in accordance with the School Governance Regulations, the function of hearing disciplinary cases to the Executive Head and an appropriate committee respectively (according to the division of powers set out in these procedures) and the hearing of appeals to its appeal committee. It will ensure that no-one is a member of both committees and that the latter includes at least three people and at least as many as the committee responsible for the hearing. In order to allow for the possibility that a member of either committee may have prior knowledge of or interest in the alleged misconduct, it will provide for each committee to have a reserve member or reserve members. The Executive Head shall not be a member of either committee. The MAT Trust Board accepts the advisability of appointing both a chair and a vice-chair of the committee responsible for disciplinary hearings when it appoints that committee and gives it delegated powers each year. The MAT Trust Board will include in its delegations a requirement for the Executive Head and both committees to report their actions to the MAT Trust Board, having due regard to the confidentiality of their proceedings and the Data Protection Act.

1.5 The MAT Trust Board will require its clerk or an appropriate substitute to attend and make a full record of any disciplinary or appeal hearing held by a committee under these procedures. It will expect the Executive Head to arrange for a full written record to be made of any hearing conducted by him or her under these procedures. Minutes of the hearings will be available to the employee or the

employee's representative if requested and should normally be provided within ten working days of the meeting. No participant should make a sound or video recording of a meeting without the consent of all the participants, including witnesses. If the content of the minutes is questioned, the person who wrote the minutes should check his or her notes of the hearing in question and, if no reason is apparent for altering them, refer the question to the Executive Head or the committee (as the case may be) for verification. If a disagreement over the content remains, then the employee may append his or her version of the disputed part to the original minutes, which shall remain unaltered.

1.6 Under the Employment Relations Act 1999 as amended an employee has the right to be accompanied at a disciplinary or grievance hearing if he or she reasonably requests to be accompanied at the hearing. The employer must permit the employer to be accompanied by one companion who is chosen by the employee and is either employed by a trade union as an official within the meaning of sections 1 and 119 of the Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992 or is an official of a trade union whom the union has reasonably certified in writing as having experience of, or as having received training in, acting as a worker's companion at disciplinary or grievance hearings, or is another of the employer's workers. Under these procedures such a companion or representative may be present at each stage of the disciplinary procedures, other than suspension, where provision is made simply for the employee to choose a witness available at short notice. Where an employee chooses to be accompanied or otherwise assisted by a representative in accordance with the legislation, the Executive Head, or the clerk to the MAT Trust Board as the case may be, will seek to arrange hearings, etc, in consultation with the chosen trade union official or chosen employee. These procedures are designed to decide fairly on the balance of probability whether misconduct has occurred, rather than to establish the facts beyond reasonable doubt as in a criminal case, so they do not provide for any employee to have legal representation.

1.7 In this procedure:-

- 'employee' means a person employed to work in the MAT or in provision made by the MAT Trust Board under the Education Acts, other than a person employed by a contractor, including by the authority acting as contractor;

- the "Regulations" mean the School Staffing (England) Regulations 2009.

2. INFORMAL DISCUSSIONS

2.1 As part of their acknowledged management responsibilities, and as part of the arrangements for the supervision, support and training of employees, Executive Head's may from time to time discuss aspects of conduct with a member of staff on a one to one basis. Such discussions will take place informally and should not be constrained by, or come within, the scope of this procedure, nor shall counselling and advice given in this informal way count as warnings within the scope of this procedure. The status of the discussion should be made known to that member of staff. The fact that attempts have been made previously to resolve informally aspects of an employee's conduct may, however, be a valid reason for

deciding that subsequent misconduct should be dealt with under the disciplinary procedure, although records of those informal attempts should not be used as evidence in any disciplinary proceedings.

2.2 Should information emerge during the course of informal discussions which could subsequently require the matter to be dealt with under the formal disciplinary procedure, the employee should be advised immediately. The Executive Head should arrange for the matter to be investigated separately under Section 3 (below).

2.3 If the Executive Head feels that it is necessary to record informal discussions with an employee about aspects of conduct, a written record of the conversation should be made and a copy of it should be given to the employee concerned, in the same way as notes can be made of discussions about other aspects of an employee's work such as development of teaching programmes. Informal discussions should not be recorded on the employee's personal file and any record of the conversation should be filed separately in confidence. Some people call this record an aide memoire.

3. INVESTIGATION

3.1 The MAT Trust Board requires an immediate, short, preliminary investigation of all allegations of misconduct, in order to establish that there are reasonable grounds for a full investigation. When a separate investigation has been undertaken under another procedure or by an external organisation (such as the school's auditors or the police) it is still necessary to investigate under this disciplinary procedure, although the disciplinary investigation may be short and will use evidence from the other investigation. In the first instance the employee against whom allegations are made should be informed that these allegations are being considered under the disciplinary procedure and invited to comment. In cases of alleged gross misconduct the employee concerned will normally be suspended as soon as the preliminary investigation has taken place (see Section 4 below).

3.2 The MAT Trust Board requires all allegations of misconduct to be investigated promptly to establish whether or not there is a case to answer. It expects the investigation to be undertaken by someone of appropriate seniority and experience with no previous involvement in the alleged misconduct and who, where possible, has completed suitable, approved training, and who will follow the MAT's [Guidance for Investigations](#). The Executive Head should commission another senior manager in the MAT to act as investigator, but where no suitable person can be identified the Executive Head may undertake the investigation, provided that the Executive Head does not then hear the case. Where such allegations are reported to the Chair of Trustees, the Chair should immediately seek advice from the management support provider. If the allegations concern the Executive Head the MAT's management support contact should refer them to a designated officer, who will arrange for the matter to be investigated on behalf of the MAT Trust Board. When the allegations do not concern the Executive Head the matter should normally be referred back to the Executive Head for investigation as above. When the allegations have been identified in the report of an investigation under another procedure or an investigation by an external organisation the Executive Head should consider whether any further enquiries are necessary to supplement the report of that investigation, taking into account any observations which the

employee might have made when informed of the allegations during the preliminary investigation under the disciplinary procedure.

3.3 Where it appears that an employee's conduct has been, or is being, affected adversely by ill health, action under these procedures may be suspended pending medical advice. The Executive Head /MAT Trust Board will seek advice from the MAT's management support provider on the action to be taken in such cases.

3.4 Advice and guidance contained in the MAT's Child Protection Procedures and associated guidance schools must be followed when a member of staff is suspected or accused of child abuse.

3.5 The advice contained in the associated guidance for schools should also be followed when interviewing a child in respect of any allegations being investigated.

3.6 The MAT's advice on financial misconduct must also be followed.

3.7 The employee against whom the allegation is made must be informed as soon as practicable that an investigation into his/her conduct is being made, the reason for it and when it is to start. The employee must be interviewed during the course of investigation and, prior to the interview, must be informed of his/her right to be accompanied by a chosen trade union official or chosen employee. Where there are considered to be exceptional circumstances preventing the interview taking place, advice should be sought from the Human Resources Team.

3.8 Other than in child protection cases, if there are witnesses to the allegations, signed and dated statements should be obtained at the earliest opportunity.

3.9 Where an employee is on sick leave advice should be sought from the Human Resources Team. Normally the process of investigation should proceed as far as interviewing witnesses is concerned.

3.10 When the investigation is complete the Executive Head (or other senior person as set out below) shall consider the results (including any adjustments that may have been made during discussions as provided in the investigation guidelines) and decide whether the facts:

- do not warrant further action; or
- amount to a situation which can be resolved by informal discussions in accordance with Section 2 above; or
- warrant a hearing in accordance with these procedures.

When the Executive Head is due to hear the case a nominated senior member of staff (or suitable person from outside the school) shall consider the results of the investigation and take the above decisions.

3.11 All information collected during investigations shall remain confidential to the person(s) directly involved.

4. SUSPENSION

4.1 The MAT Trust Board recognises that suspension is not a disciplinary sanction but a neutral act, without loss of emoluments. Suspension is normally only considered in cases which, on preliminary investigation, appear to involve alleged gross misconduct or in which suspension is necessary to protect the integrity of the investigation. Advice should be taken from the Human Resources Team as to whether any other action, such as a temporary direction to undertake other duties, might be more appropriate than suspension to the particular circumstances of the case.

4.2 Under the Regulations the MAT Trust Board and the Executive Head with a delegated budget both have the power to suspend any person employed or engaged otherwise than under a contract of employment to work in the MAT where, in the opinion of the MAT Trust Board or (as the case may be) of the Executive Head, the suspension of that person is required. The MAT Trust Board recognises that a decision to suspend is normally a management decision for the Executive Head and that in the event of the MAT Trust Board or (when there is not time to convene a special meeting of the MAT Trust Board) Chair of Trustees contemplating such a decision the MAT Trust Board, or its Chair as the case may be, will take advice from the Human Resources Team in conjunction with MAT's management support provider before taking action. The MAT Trust Board notes that, although under paragraph 4 of the Staffing Regulations it cannot delegate its functions of suspension or ending suspension, however the School Governance (Procedures) Regulations 2003 do permit such delegation to a single trustee or a committee. The MAT Trust Board will therefore decide whether or not to delegate the power to suspend or lift suspension to the Chair of Trustees, and to the Vice-Chair of Trustees in the absence of the Chair of Trustees, or to its disciplinary and appeals committees, subject to any action taken being reported to the MAT Trust Board and subject to annual review of any such delegations.

4.3 An employee who, on preliminary investigation, is suspected of gross misconduct (see 5.2 below) will normally be suspended immediately after that investigation. This should normally take place in a special meeting called in accordance with these procedures, but in the absence of the employee other arrangements should be made. The MAT Trust Board, by adopting this procedure, accepts the desirability of each party having a witness to a suspension whilst recognising that suspension, if appropriate, cannot be delayed and that the choice of witness will therefore be limited. The witness will not be expected to fulfil the role of a representative; the employee will be entitled to a representative in later stages of the procedure.

4.4 When the Executive Head becomes aware of alleged misconduct which might lead to suspension he or she (having taken advice as necessary) will take the employee aside, explaining that there is a serious matter to discuss and asking the employee to accompany him or her to his or her office or other suitable place, where the Executive Head will explain that a short meeting is to be called to tell the employee about a serious matter, that the employee is entitled under the disciplinary procedure to choose a witness able to attend at short notice, because it might be necessary to suspend the employee, and that the employee will have the right not to say anything in response to what the Executive Head will disclose, although refusal to respond will probably make suspension inevitable. The Executive Head will then ask the employee to wait in a nearby room whilst the witness, either someone on the school staff or within a few minutes' journey, is asked to come to the meeting.

4.5 Unless a meeting is impractical (due to the employee's absence on sick leave or other good reason) the employee will be informed in a meeting of the decision to suspend and written confirmation of the decision shall be given to the employee at the meeting or sent immediately after the suspension is notified. Where possible, the meeting to inform the employee of a suspension should have two witnesses (see 4.3 and 4.4 above), one chosen by the Executive Head and one by the employee, provided that the procurement of witnesses does not delay the meeting. It is acknowledged that the employee's choice of witness will be constrained by the need to choose someone on the school premises, or within a few minutes' journey. The Executive Head will outline the circumstances and invite the employee to comment, reminding the employee of the right to remain silent but explaining that silence will probably make suspension inevitable. In the case of allegations under the child protection procedures the employee will be informed simply that allegations have been made and that no details can be discussed at the meeting. Depending on the response, the Executive Head may or may not adjourn the meeting before deciding whether or not to suspend the employee. The Executive Head should then tell the employee of his or her decision and, when the decision is to suspend, should arrange for the employee to leave the premises.

This formal letter of suspension shall include the following:

- (a) the specific reason for the suspension, including a summary of the alleged misconduct;
- (b) the date and time from which it took effect and a statement that it may last until the disciplinary procedure is complete;
- (c) the likely duration of the investigation, and confirmation that the employee will be interviewed during that investigation except when allegations relating to child protection are being managed by an organisation external to the MAT;
- (d) a statement that suspension is a neutral act;
- (e) a statement that the suspension shall be without loss of emoluments;

(f) the rules of suspension, for example, the employee should not return to the place of work without prior permission and should not contact any pupils. Nor should he or she contact other employees involved in the alleged misconduct, unless he or she wishes to call one or more of such employees as witnesses at a forthcoming hearing (this contact may be made by the employee or the employee's representative and need not be made through the MAT). Special arrangements are to be made if the employee lives on the school site or is related to another employee, taking advice from the Human Resources Team as appropriate.

(g) a statement that statutory regulations require the suspension to be reported to the MAT Trust Board and an assurance that the reasons for the suspension will not be disclosed, so that any subsequent hearing will not be prejudiced.

When suspension of an Executive Head takes place the Chair of Trustees or a trustee with appropriate delegated authority shall proceed in accordance with this section.

4.6 The Regulations require the Executive Head or MAT Trust Board to inform the Human Resources Team immediately. To avoid prejudicing any subsequent proceedings in which some trustees will be involved the information to the MAT Trust Board should be restricted to a simple statement without documentation.

4.7 Any such suspension may be ended only in accordance with the MAT Trust Board's delegations (see 4.2 above). Those empowered to lift suspension shall inform the Executive Head immediately whenever they take such a decision.

4.8 The Executive Head should keep the case under review throughout the period of suspension and maintain contact (through an agreed named person if not through the Executive Head) between the MAT and the employee, who must be kept informed of progress. The first contact should be made within twenty working days of the suspension and at appropriate intervals thereafter. The Executive Head should also, subject to each MAT's Human Resources Team's advice on the constraints attached to certain types of misconduct (particularly where criminal prosecution may be or is pending), expedite proceedings under this procedure, as far as is possible and fair. When an Executive Head is suspended, a nominated trustee should be responsible, with advice from each MAT's Human Resources Team or MAT's management support provider, for keeping the case under review, maintaining contact with the Executive Head and expediting proceedings as set out in this paragraph. Employees in need of pastoral support should be advised to contact their union or professional association or other appropriate organisation.

4.9 The employee must not return to the school until the MAT Trust Board's decision to end the suspension is communicated to the employee in writing.

5. MISCONDUCT AND GROSS MISCONDUCT

5.1 The MAT Trust Board defines misconduct (which may be gross misconduct in certain cases – see 5.2 below) as including, for example, criminal acts wherever and whenever committed, neglect of duty, child abuse, abuse of authority, disobedience of lawful instruction, insubordination, misuse of an employee's official position for personal gain, unauthorised absence from duty, physical assault, theft, malicious damage, falsification of documents including personal records and expense claim forms, abuse of alcohol or other drugs (except drugs medically prescribed, or unless the abuse is to be treated as a medical rather than a disciplinary matter in line with advice from the Human Resources Team), unauthorised use or removal of the MAT's property and other conduct to the prejudice of efficient education in the MAT. The MAT Trust Board recognises that great care must be taken in handling cases of suspected abuse of alcohol or drugs and that the Human Resources Team advice should be sought in each case.

5.2 The MAT Trust Board defines gross misconduct as misconduct of such a nature that it cannot reasonably allow the continued presence at the place of work of an employee who commits such an action. It will seek, or require the Executive Head to seek on its behalf, guidance from the Human Resources Team on the difference between misconduct and gross misconduct in each case of possible gross misconduct in order to ensure that the disciplinary procedure is followed with proper regard to employment law.

5.3 No employee will be dismissed for a first breach of discipline except in cases of gross misconduct.

5.4 Gross misconduct will lead to dismissal without notice unless there are mitigating circumstances.

5.5 Where power to hear all cases under these procedures has been delegated to the Executive Head (see 1.4 above), then the Executive Head (or Head of School acting as Executive Head for the time being) will hear all cases under these procedures except for the following, which he or she will present to the MAT Trust Board's committee with delegated authority to hear disciplinary cases referred to it:

- allegations of misconduct or gross misconduct with which the Executive Head has had some prior involvement;
- any other cases of alleged misconduct, where after preliminary investigation the Executive Head considers the case warrants such a referral.

5.6 There may be cases in which an employee is simultaneously the subject of allegations of misconduct and allegations of lack of capability. If the allegations are related advice should be sought from the Human Resources Team on how to proceed; otherwise the allegations should be dealt with separately under the respective procedures.

6. PROCEDURES FOR HEARING CASES OF ALLEGED MISCONDUCT AND GROSS MISCONDUCT

6.1 If, on receipt of the report of an investigation, the Executive Head decides, on the basis of the information available, that there is a case to answer and that a hearing is therefore required, the Executive Head shall conduct such a hearing or, in the cases specified in 5.5 above, shall refer the case to the appropriate committee of the MAT Trust Board for a hearing.

6.2 The Human Resources Team must be informed immediately of any allegation which, if upheld at the hearing, might lead the MAT Trust Board to determine that the employee should cease to work in the MAT.

6.3 A formal notice to an employee to attend a hearing by the committee or by the Executive Head should be issued in writing, with an explanation of the hearing's purpose and its place in the disciplinary procedures. The letter should not only state the substance of the allegation but should also attach copies of any documents to be used at the hearing, remind the employee of the right to be accompanied and/or represented, explain the procedures to be followed at the hearing and give the names of the person(s) hearing the case. The employee should be asked to confirm that he/she will attend the hearing in person and to indicate whether he/she wishes to be accompanied by a representative (who must be either a trade union official or another of the employer's employees – see paragraph 1.6 of this procedure) and to call witnesses; he or she should be asked both to provide documentation and give the names of witnesses whom he or she has asked, or intends to ask, to appear on his or her behalf, at least ten working days before the hearing. Names of witnesses from both sides should be circulated in advance and all witnesses should be asked to provide a written statement for inclusion with the documents to be used at the hearing. A second set of papers should be enclosed for the employee's representative.

6.4 In order to ensure that the employee has enough time to prepare his or her response to the allegation(s) reasonable notice of the hearing should be given. This should be at least fifteen working days, with the formal notice being sent by hand delivery or a postal service guaranteeing delivery on the following day. It is helpful to agree a date with the employee's union/professional association if they are already involved in the case, before sending the formal notice to attend the hearing. The employee may suggest an alternative time and date as long as it is reasonable and is not more than five working days after the original date. The committee or Executive Head (as the case may be) may reject this suggestion but will do so only if it is unreasonable, when they may proceed to hear the case in the absence of the employee or the employee's representative and will take advice from the Human Resources Team on what is unreasonable. There is also the discretion to defer the date of the hearing by a longer period in order to reach mutual agreement on a convenient date, having particular regard to the availability of the employee's representative.

6.5 The employee shall be asked both to provide documentation in his or her defence and give the names of witnesses whom he or she has asked, or intends to ask, to appear on his or her behalf, at least 10 working days before the hearing. Where the hearing is before a committee of the MAT Trust Board

the clerk to the MAT Trust Board is required to ensure that all parties to the hearing receive copies of all documents at least 5 working days before the hearing. Only evidence which could not be obtained at an earlier date may be provided after the times specified above. There is no requirement on the employee to submit any documentation, other than a statement from any witness who may be called by the employee. However, if the employee does not intend to submit any documentation there should be a positive statement from the employee or the employee's representative to this effect. Neither side will be able to use at the hearing any evidence not previously provided.

6.6 When a case is to be heard by the appropriate committee of the MAT Trust Board the Executive Head should present the case to the committee, unless the Executive Head is the subject of the hearing, in which event the chair of the committee should seek the advice of the MAT's management support provider on an appropriate person to present the case. If the Executive Head is conducting the hearing, an appropriate senior member of the leadership team in the MAT should present the case (with the hearing being recorded as specified in 1.5 above). If there is no such appropriate senior member then the hearing should be conducted by a committee of the MAT Trust Board.

6.7 The Executive Head (except where he/she is the person concerned) and the Human Resources Team are entitled to attend, for the purpose of giving advice, all proceedings of the MAT Trust Board (this includes committees of the MAT Trust Board) which may relate to a determination that a person employed at the MAT should cease to work there. The MAT Trust Board must consider any such advice. A Executive Head wishing to give such advice should exercise this right only in the presence of the employee and the employee's representative, as giving advice in their absence could lead to a claim for unfair dismissal, or an appeal on the grounds of failure to follow the procedures. The Executive Head and MAT Trust Board should minimize informal contact in the days preceding a hearing in order to prevent misconceptions about the purpose of such contacts.

6.8 The hearing will follow the procedure set out in Appendix 1.

6.9 The committee or Executive Head shall announce its decision to the parties in person or subsequently in writing as the committee shall determine. An oral announcement shall be confirmed in writing subsequently, including the right of appeal.

7. DISCIPLINARY SANCTIONS

7.1 If the Executive Head or the committee finds at the hearing that the allegation of misconduct is substantiated, one of the following should be issued:-

- a recorded warning
- a written warning
- a final written warning.

In deciding on the most appropriate sanction the Executive Head or the committee should have regard to the facts of the case, any mitigating factors and any precedents acknowledging that the purpose of a disciplinary procedure is to bring about an improvement in the future conduct of an employee rather than to punish that individual.

7.2 In cases of alleged gross misconduct the Executive Head (in appropriate cases) or the committee also has the option of determining that the employee under the control of a MAT Trust Board should be dismissed in which cases the provisions of the Regulations shall apply.

7.3 All warnings will be recorded in writing and a copy given to the employee.

7.4 A warning will include the following:-

(a) the nature of the misconduct;

(b) the nature of the warning now issued;

(c) the consequences of further misconduct, including, for a final written warning, a statement that further misconduct of any kind, if substantiated through disciplinary proceedings, will (without question) result in dismissal;

(d) any support to be made available to the employee;

(e) the duration of the warning (see 7.6, 7.7 below);

(f) details of any current, previous warnings;

(g) a statement that the warning will be forwarded to the local authority to be placed on the employee's personal file;

(h) the right of appeal (see 8 below) including the period within which notice of appeal must be given and to whom that notice should be sent;

(i) a copy of the letter to be given, should the employee so wish, to a representative

7.5 A notification of a determination to cease to work at the MAT shall include a statement whether the dismissal will be with or without contractual notice. It shall also give details of the right of appeal (see 8 below).

7.6 Records of disciplinary warnings shall be current for the following periods of satisfactory conduct:

- recorded warning six months

- written warning one year
- final written warning two years

Warnings will cease to be 'live' following the specified period of satisfactory conduct. Subject to 7.7 below they will be removed and destroyed nine months after the expiry of the warning. If the employee's misconduct is found under these procedures to have been repeated during those nine months then the employee's disciplinary record may be borne in mind at the point when it is decided which level of disciplinary sanction to issue.

7.7 In certain circumstances, however, a disciplinary record must be retained permanently on the personal file. This will apply where the disciplinary sanction is for misconduct involving issues relating to the safety and welfare of children or young people, as required by the Government's guidance on safeguarding children. It will also apply in the case of a final written warning for misconduct involving sexual misconduct, assault on a client or employee, or harassment or abuse.

7.8 Where any warning is withdrawn by appeal at any stage in the procedure, that warning shall be removed and destroyed and any lesser warning shall be substituted.

8. APPEALS

8.1 An employee has the right of appeal against any disciplinary sanction taken under this procedure or against a determination to cease to work at the MAT, or a dismissal from a school. An appeal against a decision to impose a disciplinary sanction or to determine that an employee should cease to work at the MAT (or be dismissed as the case may be), whether taken by the Executive Head or by a committee of the MAT Trust Board, shall be to the Appeal Committee established by the MAT Trust Board.

8.2 An employee who receives a recorded or written warning or for whom it is determined that he or she must cease to work at the MAT may appeal against the warning or determination (as the case may be) by giving written notice of the appeal and of all the grounds on which it is made to the clerk to the MAT Trust Board within 10 working days of receiving written confirmation of the warning. The grounds for the appeal should be accompanied by any additional evidence to be presented in support of the appeal. If the employee so wishes there is no requirement to submit any documentation, other than a statement from any witness who may be called by the employee. However, if the employee does not intend to submit any documentation there should be a positive statement from the employee or the employee's representative to this effect and the employee will not be able to use at the hearing any evidence not previously provided.

8.3 The clerk to the MAT Trust Board will immediately notify the Executive Head or the representative of the disciplinary committee (whichever took the decision against which the employee is appealing) of all the grounds of appeal and any additional evidence, with a request to submit any additional papers in response to the clerk within 7 working days.

8.4 The clerk to the MAT Trust Board will then arrange an Appeal Committee hearing as quickly as possible, to take place, other than in exceptional circumstances, within twenty working days of the employee's notice of appeal. The clerk should make every effort to agree a date with the employee's union/professional association if they are already involved in the case, before sending the formal instruction to attend the hearing. The employee may suggest an alternative time and date as long as it is reasonable and is not more than 5 working days after the original date. The committee may reject this suggestion if it is unreasonable and may proceed to hear the case in the absence of the employee or the employee's representative, but also has the discretion to defer the date of the hearing in order to reach mutual agreement on a convenient date, having particular regard to the availability of the employee's representative.

8.5 The clerk to the MAT Trust Board will also seek advice from the Human Resources Team on the procedure for the appeal hearing, having regard to the grounds for the appeal, and shall then advise the employee and the other parties to the hearing of the appropriate procedure according to whether the appellant is seeking mitigation or is effectively asking for a re-hearing of the case in the light of the evidence. The formal notice of the hearing will include the procedure to be followed in the hearing, using either Appendix 1 or Appendix 2 (see 8.7 below).

8.6 The clerk to the committee shall give at least 10 working days' formal notice of the hearing to all the participants, and in the same letter shall set out the order of the proceedings, remind the employee of the employee's rights at the hearing, including the right to request to be accompanied by a representative of his or her choice who is either a union official or another of the employer's employees (see paragraph 1.6 above), list the members of the appeal committee, give the names of witnesses, and confirm the options for action which the appeal committee may take (see 8.8. below). All documents relevant to an appeal hearing shall be enclosed with the letter. The witnesses may include, as appropriate to the circumstances of the case, the Executive Head and/or a member of the committee which took the decision against which the employee is appealing, or the person who presented the case if that person was not the Executive Head.

8.7 The normal procedure for an appeal hearing is set out in the Appendix 1. If there is to be a re-hearing the procedure in the Appendix 2 will be followed. The appeal committee will have regard to any updated guidance issued on conducting an appeal hearing.

8.8 The Appeal Committee may dismiss the appeal, uphold the appeal, and/or reduce the severity of the warning or substitute a warning for a determination that an employee should cease to work at the MAT or a dismissal from a school.

8.9 The appellant may choose whether to hear the Appeal Committee's decision in person or receive it subsequently in writing, but this choice shall not prevent the committee from choosing to adjourn and reconvene before making a decision. An oral announcement shall be confirmed in writing by the clerk to the Governing Body within 10 working days of the hearing. If an appeal against a determination to cease

to work at the MAT is upheld, the letter of notification will include a withdrawal of the dismissal and reinstatement without a break in service.

9. ALLEGED MISCONDUCT ON THE PART OF THE EXECUTIVE HEAD

9.1 Any allegation about misconduct on the part of the Executive Head shall be referred, through the Chair of the MAT Trust Board, to the MAT's management support provider, who shall arrange both preliminary and full investigation of the allegation in accordance with section 3 of this procedure. If suspension of the Executive Head is appropriate it shall be undertaken in accordance with the provisions of this procedure. If it is found that there is a case to answer the MAT's management support provider shall advise the MAT Trust Board how to proceed in relation to the Executive Head as the Executive Head acts in relation to any other employee under these procedures, except that the option of referring the matter to the Executive Head or Head of School does not apply.

9.2 The Chair of the MAT Trust Board may present the case to the committee, calling on the investigator to give evidence, or may ask the MAT

's management support provider to present the case.

10. ALLEGED MISCONDUCT ON THE PART OF TRADE UNION OFFICERS

10.1 Normal disciplinary standards of behaviour should apply to trade union officers. However, disciplinary action against a trade union officer can be misconstrued as an attack on the union. Such problems can be avoided by early discussion with a full-time official or senior trade union representative.

Reviewed: July 2020

Next Review Date: July 2021

Appendix 1 and 2

Behaviour in Meetings and Hearings

Any person attending any kind of meeting or hearing in any capacity must comply with acceptable professional standards of behaviour and consequently should adhere to the following Code. Although this protocol is applicable to conduct within formal and informal meetings or hearings, the expectation is that the same standards will apply outside of meetings and hearings. One companion/ representative may accompany the employee and speak on his or her behalf at formal meetings and hearings. The agreement of the Chair is required if the employee seeks additional support (for example, with learning disabilities) or a request is made for an observer to attend.

1. Everyone due to attend the meeting/hearing must make every effort to be punctual in order that the meeting/hearing may begin promptly.
2. The meeting/hearing will be conducted in accordance with the relevant provisions of any procedure adopted by the MAT Trust Board or by the general procedure for meetings for meetings as recommended by the Employee Relations Team unless the Committee, Executive Head /Manager and Employee agree variations.
3. Whilst these meetings/hearings are conducted formally they form part of internal procedures not legal proceedings and therefore all persons present should avoid using legal terminology without providing an explanation understandable to a lay audience.
4. All statements, questions and responses must be addressed through the person chairing the meeting/hearing.
5. Each person invited to speak by the Chair will be permitted to make his or her contribution uninterrupted by any other person present.
6. All persons present will act respectfully towards every other person present and will not act in a manner that demeans insults, threatens or intimidates him or her including an attempt to make an audio or video recording of the proceedings. All statements, questions and responses, challenges to statements, complaints or criticisms must be made politely and quietly.
7. All statements, questions and responses must be related to the facts of the case and not be personal in nature. There should be no reference to personal views on any person or in relation to the issue nor should reference be made to previous issues that do not form part of the case under consideration.

8. The meeting/hearing will be conducted with due regard to the needs of the participants for refreshment and rest and will be adjourned as appropriate either for a short break or for conclusion on another day.

9. If any person present fails to comply with this Code then the Chair will warn him or her that further non-compliance may lead to him or her being asked to leave the meeting/hearing. Any further breach of the Code may then lead to the Chair deciding that the person should be asked to leave the meeting/hearing or that the meeting/hearing should be adjourned for a period of time to be determined by the Chair.